# Robert Down Elementary School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2013-14 School Year Published During 2014-15

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- View this SARC online at the school and/or LEA Web sites.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district
  office.

# **About This School**

#### **Contact Information (Most Recent Year)**

School Contact Information			
School Name	Robert Down Elementary School		
Street	485 Pine Ave.		
City, State, Zip	Pacific Grove , CA 93950-3401		
Phone Number	831.646.6540		
Principal	Linda Williams		
E-mail Address	lwilliams@pgusd.org		
Web Site	www.robertdown.org		
CDS Code	27661346026496		

District Contact Information				
District Name	Pacific Grove Unified School District			
Phone Number	831.646.6520			
Superintendent	Ralph Porras			
E-mail Address	rporras@pgusd.org			
Web Site	www.pgusd.org			

#### School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

Robert Down Elementary School, founded in 1891 and situated in the heart of Pacific Grove, California, is beautifully located beside the Pacific Ocean and Monterey Bay. The K-5 program focuses on a common core standards-aligned curriculum. We are a "MegaSkills" and a "Random Acts of Kindness" school with a strong spotlight on the development of each individual's character as well as the sense of community among all students.

The Mission of Robert Down Elementary School, in partnership with the community, will challenge every student by providing a quality instructional program in a positive, safe and stimulating environment. Robert Down School will ensure opportunities for students to acquire and apply the knowledge and skills that develop the insight and character necessary for a productive and rewarding life.

Programs include Special Education, GATE, English Language Development, Art Docent, School Garden, School-based Counseling, Speech Therapy, School Library, Physical Education, Computer Lab, Vocal and Instrumental Music, DARE, DEA Dance, Big Buddy, After-School Enrichment, Study Hall/Homework Support, Academic Intervention, Read 180, Caught Being Good, Otter Good Citizen Program, MegaSkills, Community of Caring, Just Run, Otter Ambassador Program.

## Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2013-14)

State the Line of the Country of the					
Grade Level	Number of Students				
Kindergarten	70				
Grade 1	92				
Grade 2	91				
Grade 3	73				
Grade 4	86				
Grade 5	77				
Total Enrollment	489				

### Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2013-14)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.8
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.6
Asian	4.1
Filipino	1.0
Hispanic or Latino	17.2
Native Hawaiian orPacific Islander	0.4
White	67.3
Two or More Races	6.1
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	15.7
English Learners	9.4
Students with Disabilities	9.4

# A. Conditions of Learning

# **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

# **Teacher Credentials**

		District		
Teachers	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2014-15
With Full Credential	24	25	25	129
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

#### **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	1	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

#### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2013-14)

	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects						
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers					
This School	100.00	0.00					
All Schools in District	100.00	0.00					
High-Poverty Schools in District	0.00	0.00					
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100.00	0.00					

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

# Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2014-15)

Year and month in which data were collected: Oct. 2014

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	SRA Open Court/ 2002 edition Grades 3-5 (adopted 2005) SuperKids Grades K-2 (adopted 2014)	Yes	0%
Mathematics	McGraw Hill My Math (adopted 2014)	Yes	0%
Science	Macmilllan- McGraw Hill (adopted 2008)	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	Scotts-Foresman / (adopted 2006) Yes		0%

<sup>\*</sup> Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

# School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

During the 2014-15 school year, the following projects were completed: New outdoor tables in kindergarten corner, primary playground, and intermediate playgrounds, new sidewalk and decomposed gravel in front of school (joint project with City of Pacific Grove), new dumpster container area.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)  Year and month in which data were collected: October 2014					
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and	
System mspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]	[]		
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[X]	[]	[]		
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]	[]		
Electrical: Electrical	[X]	[]	[]		
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	[]	[]		
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]		
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]	[]		
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]	[]		

**Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)** 

Consult Bating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]	

# **B. Pupil Outcomes**

# **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Achievement State Priority (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress and its successor the Standardized Testing and Reporting Program);
- The Academic Performance Index; and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress / Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students in Science (Three-Year Comparison)

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject	School		District			State			
	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	89	90	84	79	82	81	60	59	60

Note: Science assessments include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2013-14)

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	81
All Student at the School	84
Male	79
Female	88
Black or African American	
American Indian or Alaska Native	
Asian	
Filipino	
Hispanic or Latino	83
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	
White	86
Two or More Races	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	
English Learners	
Students with Disabilities	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	

Note: CAASPP includes science assessments (CSTs, CMA, and CAPA) in grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students (Three-Year Comparison)

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject	School			District			State		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts	82	84	81	76	79	76	54	56	55
Mathematics	87	84	86	65	65	66	49	50	50
History-Social Science				75	72	72	48	49	49

Note: STAR Program was last administered in 2012-13. Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# **Academic Performance Index Ranks (Three-Year Comparison)**

API Rank	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Statewide	10	10	10
Similar Schools	8	7	9

Note: For 2014 and subsequent years, the statewide and similar schools ranks will no longer be produced.

#### Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group (Three-Year Comparison)

G	Actual API Change						
Group	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13				
All Students at the School	20	5	4				
Black or African American							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Asian							
Filipino							
Hispanic or Latino							
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander							
White	26	6	4				
Two or More Races							
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged							
English Learners							
Students with Disabilities							

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

# **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of English, mathematics, and physical education.

#### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2013-14)

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards						
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards				
5	12.9	25.7	44.3				

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# C. Engagement

## **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

# **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (Most Recent Year)**

There are a variety of ways for parents to be involved at Robert Down School. Please contact your child's teacher for specific in-class opportunities. For school-wide parental involvement activities, you may call 831-646-6540 ext. 357and leave a message for the PTA Volunteer Coordinator. Parent Education classes are schedule four times per year. Back to School Night is held two weeks after school commences. The Open House evening is held in the spring of each school year. Parent conferences are held in November and March. A parent conference with your child's teacher may be scheduled with the teacher at any time. Fun family weekend and evenings such as the Halloween Family Evening, Holiday Program, Taco Night, Otter Olympics, Family Gardening, etc are held throughout the year. Specific information is available via the classroom and school newsletters.

# **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- · Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

Do.A.	School			District			State		
Rate	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Suspensions	0.6	1.1	.8	2.5	4.4	3.0	5.7	5.1	4.4
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

Note: The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment x 100.

#### School Safety Plan (Most Recent Year)

The safety plan for Robert Down School is reviewed yearly with updates made as needed. A standing safety committee is in place and crisis teams are assigned and trained.

# D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2013-14)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	yes	
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	yes	
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	yes	
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	yes	
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	yes	

# Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2014-15)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement*		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		1
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		100.0

Note: Cells with "---" do not require data.

# **Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)**

		201	1-12	<u> </u>	2012-13			2012-13 2013-14				
Grade	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses
Level	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+
К	21.3	2	2	0	18	2	3		23		3	
1	26	0	3	0	21	1	3		23		4	
2	26	0	3	0	17	2	3		22		4	
3	24.7	0	3	0	21	1	3		24		3	
4	27	0	3	0	16	2	3		29		3	
5	25.5	0	2	0	24		3		26		3	
6												
Other	0	2	0	0								

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2013-14)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor		
Academic Counselor				
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.5			
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)				
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1.0			
Psychologist	.5			
Social Worker				
Nurse	.2			
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0			
Resource Specialist	2.0			
Other				

Note: Cells with "---" do not require data. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

<sup>\*</sup> DW (determination waiver) indicates that the PI status of the school was carried over from the prior year in accordance with the flexibility granted through the federal waiver process.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

		Average			
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary	
School Site	\$13,065	\$787	\$12,278	\$86,114	
District			\$12,598	\$78,457	
Percent Difference: School Site and District			-2.5	12.6	
State			\$4,690	\$63,037	
Percent Difference: School Site and State			121.7	36.3	

Note: Cells with "---" do not require data.

#### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

English Language Development, Special Education, GATE, School-based Counseling, Behavior Interventions, Academic Interventions, Speech Therapy, School Library, Physical Education, Computer Lab, Vocal and Instrumental Music, Occupational Therapy

### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$42,300	\$38,920
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$73,060	\$59,803
Highest Teacher Salary	\$98,168	\$78,096
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$132,129	\$95,836
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$123,822	\$99,849
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$158,646	\$107,599
Superintendent Salary	\$203,528	\$151,912
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	41	37
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7	6

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

#### **Professional Development**

Two annual school days per year are devoted to certificated staff professional development. During the entire school year, students are released an hour early on Thursdays so that staff may collaborate and participate in professional training. Staff also attends workshops and training at the Monterey County Office of Education as well as at institutions throughout the state. Developing the staff's expertise with common core standards, technology, student assessment and achievement have been the primary focus of our district-wide professional development.