

ROBERT H. DOWN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

Executive Summary School Accountability Report Card 2008-09

Robert Down Elementary School

Address: 485 Pine Ave. , Pacific Grove CA 93950-3401

Phone: 831-646-6540

Principal: Linda Williams

Grade Span: K - 5

This executive summary of the School Accountability Report Card (SARC) is intended to provide parents and community members with a quick snapshot of school accountability. The data presented in this report are reported for the 2008-09 school year, except the School Finances and School Completion data that are reported for the 2007-08 school year. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should review the entire SARC or contact the school principal or the district office.

About This School

Robert Down Elementary School, founded in 1891 and located in the heart of Pacific Grove, California, is beautifully surrounded by the Pacific Ocean and Monterey Bay. The K-5 program focuses on a standards-aligned curriculum. We are a "MegaSkills" and a "Community of Caring" school with a strong spotlight on the development of each individual's character as well as the sense of community among all students.

The Mission of Robert Down Elementary School, in partnership with the community, will challenge every student by providing a quality instructional program in a positive, safe and stimulating environment. Robert Down School will ensure opportunities for students to acquire and apply the knowledge and skills that develop the insight and character necessary for a productive and rewarding life.

Student Enrollment

Group	Percent
African American	2.02 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.87 %
Asian	8.09 %
Filipino	0.87 %
Hispanic or Latino	7.23 %
Pacific Islander	0.29 %
White (not Hispanic)	72.83 %
Multiple or No Response	7.80 %
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	9.00 %
English Learners	5.00 %
Students with Disabilities	13.00 %
Total Number of Students	346

Teachers

Indicator	Teachers
Teachers with full credential	25
Teachers without full credential	0
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0

Student Performance

Subject	Students Proficient and Above on California Standards Tests
English-Language Arts	79 %
Mathematics	79 %
Science	75 %

Academic Progress

Indicator	Result
2009 Growth API Score (from 2009 Growth API Report)	897
Statewide Rank (from 2008 Base API Report)	9
2009-10 Program Improvement Status (PI Year)	Not in PI

School Facilities

Summary of Most Recent Site Inspection

Robert Down School provides a safe and clean environment for learning. During the school year, routine inspections are made by two full-time school custodians and the district maintenance staff. All school staff report any and all repairs needed on the premises. The Pacific Grove Fire Marshall does a yearly overall safety inspection. The cafeteria is inspected regularly by a Monterey County health inspector. A school-wide committee made recommendations for use of the Measure D funds in upgrading and improving the overall school facility. These recommendations are currently being reviewed by the State Board of Architect.

Repairs Needed

Routine repair work is assigned and accounted for through a district work order system. Work that may pose a security or safety hazard is given immediate attention. All routine repairs were done in a timely fashion during the 2008-09 school year.

Corrective Actions Taken or Planned

No major corrective action or repairs were required during the 2008-09 school year.

A school-wide committee made recommendations for use of the Measure D funds in upgrading and improving the overall school facility. During the past two summers, all exterior windows were replaced and the exterior of all school buildings was painted with the use of Measure D funds. Additional projects funded under Measure D have been approved by the PGUSD School Board and are currently being reviewed by the California Architects Board. These projects include: annex restroom remodel, cafeteria/kitchen remodel, interior lighting replacement, interior painting, floor repair/replacement, art/science room remodel, speech/OT room remodel.

Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Core Curriculum Areas	Pupils Who Lack Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	0 %
Mathematics	0 %
Science	0 %
History-Social Science	0 %
Health	0 %
Visual and Performing Arts	0 %

School Finances

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted Sources Only)
School Site	\$ LEA Provided
District	\$ LEA Provided
State	\$5,512

NAEP Reading, Grade 4

Level	Result
Average Scale Score - State	209
Average Scale Score - National	220
Achievement Level - Basic	30%
Achievement Level - Proficient	18%
Achievement Level - Advanced	5%

NAEP Reading, Grade 8

Level	Result
Average Scale Score - State	251
Average Scale Score - National	261
Achievement Level - Basic	41%
Achievement Level - Proficient	20%
Achievement Level - Advanced	2%

NAEP Mathematics, Grade 4

Level	Result
Average Scale Score - State	232
Average Scale Score - National	239
Achievement Level - Basic	41%
Achievement Level - Proficient	25%
Achievement Level - Advanced	5%

NAEP Mathematics, Grade 8

Level	Result
Average Scale Score - State	270
Average Scale Score - National	282
Achievement Level - Basic	36%
Achievement Level - Proficient	18%
Achievement Level - Advanced	5%

School Accountability Report Card Reported for School Year 2008-09 Published During 2009-10

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the California Department of Education (CDE) [SARC](#) Web page. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides the schools contact information.

School		District	
School Name	Robert Down Elementary	District Name	Pacific Grove Unified
Street	485 Pine Ave.	Phone Number	831-646-6520
City, State, Zip	Pacific Grove , CA 93950-3401	Web Site	www.pgusd.org
Phone Number	831-646-6540	Superintendent	Dr. Ralph Gomez Porras
Principal	Linda Williams	E-mail Address	rporras@pgusd.org
E-mail Address	lwilliams@pgusd.org	CD'S Code	27- 66134- 6026496

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2008-09)

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2008-09)

Robert Down Elementary School, founded in 1891 and located in the heart of Pacific Grove, California, is beautifully surrounded by the Pacific Ocean and Monterey Bay. The K-5 program focuses on a standards-aligned curriculum. We are a “MegaSkills” and a “Community of Caring” school with a strong spotlight on the development of each individual’s character as well as the sense of community among all students.

The Mission of Robert Down Elementary School, in partnership with the community, will challenge every student by providing a quality instructional program in a positive, safe and stimulating environment. Robert Down School will ensure opportunities for students to acquire and apply the

knowledge and skills that develop the insight and character necessary for a productive and rewarding life.

Programs include:

Title I, Teacher Professional Development, Special Education, GATE, Art Docent, School Garden, School-based Counseling, Speech Therapy, School Library, Physical Education, Computer Lab, Vocal and Instrumental Music, Phonemic Awareness, DARE, Big Buddy, After-School Enrichment, Study Hall/Homework Support, Chorus, Poet-in Residence, Kinder Cooking, Caught Being Good, Otter Good Citizen Program, MegaSkills, Community of Caring, Just Run

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2008-09)

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2008-09)

There are a variety of ways for parents to be involved at Robert Down School. Please contact your child's teacher for specific in-class opportunities. For school-wide parental involvement activities, you may call 8321-646-6540 and leave a message for Office Manger, Deanna Karasek; Volunteer Coordinator- Petula Lee, PTA President- Traci Klarsfeld, Art Docent Coordinator- Kristi Sebok, Garden Docent Coordinator- Stacy Jacobs.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	61
Grade 1	61
Grade 2	58
Grade 3	56
Grade 4	52
Grade 5	58
Grade 6	0
Grade 7	0
Grade 8	0
Ungraded Elementary	0
Grade 9	0
Grade 10	0
Grade 11	0
Grade 12	0
Ungraded Secondary	0
Total Enrollment	346

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
African American	2.02 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.87 %
Asian	8.09 %
Filipino	0.87 %
Hispanic or Latino	7.23 %
Pacific Islander	0.29 %

White (not Hispanic)	72.83 %
Multiple or No Response	7.80 %
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	9.00 %
English Learners	5.00 %
Students with Disabilities	13.00 %

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

This table displays by grade level the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

Grade Level	2006-07			2007-08			2008-09					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	20.0	3			20.3	2	1		20.3	2	1	
1	20.0	3			20.0	4			20.3	1	2	
2	17.0	3			18.0	3			19.0	4		
3	19.0	3			12.6	5			18.7	3		
4	20.3	2	1		20.4	3	2		26.0		3	
5	21.0	1	2		19.7	2	1		19.3	3		
6												
K-3												
3-4												
4-8					7.0	2						
Other	6.0	1										

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

The safety plan for Robert Down School is reviewed yearly with updates made as needed. A standing safety committee is in place and crisis teams are assigned and trained.

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

Rate	School			District		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Suspensions	6.0	3.1	10.7	6.1	10.0	8.2
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

A school-wide committee has made recommendations for use of the Measure D funds in upgrading and improving the overall school facility. During the past two summers, all exterior windows were replaced and the exterior of all school buildings was painted with the use of Measure D funds. Additional projects funded under Measure D have been approved by the PGUSD School Board and are currently being reviewed by the California Architects Board. These projects include: annex restroom remodel, cafeteria/kitchen remodel, interior lighting replacement, interior painting, floor repair/replacement, art/science room remodel, speech/OT room remodel, playground upgrades and exterior fencing.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	N/A	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	N/A	X			Measure D plans- Interior painting, floors
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	N/A	X			
Electrical: Electrical	N/A	X			Measure D plans- Interior Lighting
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	N/A	X			2008-09- Waterless urinals installed in boys' restrooms Measure D plans- Kindergarten Restroom remodel
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	N/A	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	N/A	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	N/A	x			Measure D plans- Primary Playground, Exterior Fencing
Overall Rating	Good				

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page.

Teachers	School			District
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2008-09
With Full Credential	23	28	25	111
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	1
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	N/A

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Indicator	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NCLB compliant teachers in the school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student participation of approximately 75 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student participation of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found on the CDE [Improving Teacher and Principal Quality](#) Web page.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by NCLB Compliant Teachers	Taught by Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
This School	84.2	15.8
All Schools in District	94.2	5.8
High-Poverty Schools in District		
Low-Poverty Schools in District	94.2	5.8

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	.4	346
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		N/A
Psychologist	1.0	N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse		N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)		N/A
Other		

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school, and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Core Curriculum Area	Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	Excellent	0 %
Mathematics	Good	0 %
Science	Excellent	0 %
History-Social Science	Excellent	0 %
Health	Good	0 %
Visual and Performing Arts	Good	0 %

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found on the CDE [Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending](#) Web page and

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental / Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic / Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	LEA Provided	LEA Provided	LEA Provided	LEA Provided
District	N/A	N/A	LEA Provided	\$74,364
Percent Difference -- School Site and District	N/A	N/A	LEA Provided	LEA Provided
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,512	\$60,994
Percent Difference -- School Site and State	N/A	N/A	LEA Provided	LEA Provided

teacher salaries can be found on the CDE [Certificated Salaries & Benefits](#) Web page.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are available at the school and funded through either categorical or other sources.

Title I, English Language Learner, Teacher Professional Development, Special Education, GATE, Art Docent, School-based Counseling, Behavior Interventions, Speech Therapy, School Library, Physical Education, Computer Lab, Vocal and Instrumental Music, Phonemic Awareness, DARE, Big Buddy, After-School Enrichment, Homework Support, Chorus, Poet-in Residence, Kinder Cooking, Caught Being Good, Otter Good Citizen Program, Stanford EPGY Math, Earobics, Accelerated Math, Just Run, School Newspaper, Anti-Bullying, Recycling, Garden Docent

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the CDE [Certificated Salaries & Benefits](#) Web page.

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$39,925	\$38,941
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$70,636	\$59,686
Highest Teacher Salary	\$94,985	\$77,828
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$123,277	\$94,258
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$139,052	\$98,271
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$135,363	\$104,869
Superintendent Salary	\$174,400	\$142,247
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	41.70 %	38.20 %
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5.60 %	5.90 %

IX. Student Performance

Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the California Standards Tests (CSTs); the California Modified Assessment (CMA), and the California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The CSTs show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and ten through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA, mathematics, and science in grades two through eleven, and for science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA includes ELA and mathematics for grades three through eight and science in grade five and is an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. Student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the CDE [Standardized Testing and Reporting \(STAR\) Results](#) Web site. Program information regarding the STAR Program can be found in the [Explaining 2008 STAR Program Summary Results to the Public guide](#). Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

Subject	School			District			State		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
English-Language Arts	72	78	79	68	73	74	43	46	50
Mathematics	69	80	79	60	65	58	40	43	46
Science	77	84	78	62	77	73	38	46	50
History-Social Science	0	0	0	67	70	70	33	36	41

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
African American	*	*	*	
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	
Asian	85	88	*	
Filipino	*	*		
Hispanic or Latino	53	58	*	
Pacific Islander	*	*		
White (not Hispanic)	81	80	85	
Male	76	77	78	
Female	82	82	78	
Economically Disadvantaged	50	43	55	
English Learners	73	73	*	

Students with Disabilities	47	53	*
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services			

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2008-09)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found on the CDE [Physical Fitness Testing \(PFT\)](#) Web page. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Healthy Fitness Zones		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	29.7	26.6	32.8
7	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	0.0	0.0	0.0

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found on the CDE [Academic Performance Index \(API\)](#) Web page.

Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from one to ten. A statewide rank of one means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of ten means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of one means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of ten means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2006	2007	2008
Statewide	9	9	9
Similar Schools	1	4	4

"N/A" means a number is not applicable or not available due to missing data.

"B" means this is either an LEA or an Alternative Schools Accountability Model (ASAM) school. Schools participating in the ASAM do not currently receive growth, target information, or statewide or similar schools rankings on this report in recognition of their markedly different educational missions and populations served. ASAM schools are covered under the Alternative Accountability system as required by Education Code Section 52052 and not the API accountability system. However, API information is needed to comply with the federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) law. Growth, target and rank information are not applicable to LEAs.

"C" means this is a special education school. Statewide and similar schools ranks are not applicable to special education schools.

"*" means this API is calculated for a small school or a small LEA, defined as having between 11 and 99 valid STAR Program test scores included in the API. APIs based on small numbers of students are less reliable and therefore should be carefully interpreted. Similar schools ranks are not calculated for small schools.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score. Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not numerically significant.

Group	Actual API Change			Growth AP	Score
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009	
All Students at the School	6	4	28		897
African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino					
Pacific Islander					
White (not Hispanic)	2	-1	23		904
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged					
English Learners					
Students with Disabilities					

"N/A" means a number is not applicable or not available due to missing data.

*** means this API is calculated for a small school, defined as having between 11 and 99 valid Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program test scores included in the API. The API is asterisked if the school was small either in 2008 or 2009. APIs based on small numbers of students are less reliable and therefore should be carefully interpreted.

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found on the CDE [Adequate Yearly Progress \(AYP\) Web page](#).

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Overall	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
API	Yes	Yes
Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes

"Yes" Met 2009 AYP Criteria

"No" Did not Meet 2009 AYP Criteria

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2009-10)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found on the CDE [Adequate Yearly Progress \(AYP\)](#) Web page.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

This table displays the school's one-year dropout and graduation rates for the most recent three-year period for which data are available. For comparison purposes, data are also provided at the district and state levels. Detailed information about dropout rates and graduation rates can be found on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page.

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Dropout Rate (1-year)				2.9	0.8	0.3	3.5	4.4	3.9
Graduation Rate			N/A	93.3	93.5	96.3	83.4	80.6	80.2

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

Students in California public schools must pass both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE to receive a high school diploma. For students who began the 2008-09 school year in grade twelve this table displays by student group the percent who met all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion.

Group	Graduating Class of 2009		
	School	District	State
All Students	NA	LEA Provided	N/A
African American	NA	LEA Provided	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	NA	LEA Provided	N/A
Asian	NA	LEA Provided	N/A
Filipino	NA	LEA Provided	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	NA	LEA Provided	N/A
Pacific Islander	NA	LEA Provided	N/A

White (not Hispanic)	NA	LEA Provided	N/A
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	NA	LEA Provided	N/A
English Learners	NA	LEA Provided	N/A
Students with Disabilities	NA	LEA Provided	N/A

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

Two annual school days per year are devoted to certificated staff professional development.

XIII. National Assessment of Educational Progress

National Assessment of Educational Progress

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a nationally representative assessment of what America's students know and can do in various subject areas. Assessments are conducted periodically in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography, and U.S. history. Student scores for reading and mathematics are reported as performance levels (i.e., basic, proficient, and advanced) and the participation of students with disabilities and English language learners is reported based on three levels (identified, excluded, and assessed). Detailed information regarding the NAEP results for each grade, performance level, and participation rate can be found on the [National Assessment of Educational Progress](#) Web page (Outside Source).

Note: Only a sample group of California's schools and districts participate in the NAEP testing cycle. Therefore, students in any particular school or district may not be included in these results. The NAEP reflects state test results and is not reflective of either the LEA or the individual school. Comparisons of student performance on the NAEP and student performance on the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program assessments cannot be made without an understanding of the key differences between the two assessment programs. For example, the NAEP only assesses grades four, eight and twelve and for long-term trends assesses grades nine, thirteen, and seventeen. Additionally, the NAEP only provides state test results for grades four and eight. The California Standards Tests (CSTs) are based on a different set of standards than the NAEP assessments. For example, the NAEP is not aligned with California academic content and achievement standards and, therefore, does not necessarily reflect the curriculum and instruction to which students are exposed in the classroom. The NAEP assesses reading and writing separately, while the CSTs assess English-language arts (ELA), encompassing reading as well as writing conventions, spelling, and grammar. Scores on the CSTs and other assessments are not directly comparable to those on NAEP. The averages and percentages presented are estimates based on samples of students rather than on entire populations. Finally, the questions students respond to are only a sample of the knowledge and skills covered by the NAEP frameworks. Information on the differences between NAEP and CST can be found on the CDE [National Assessment of Educational Progress \(NAEP\)](#) Web page.

National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results by Grade Level – Aggregated

This table displays the scale scores and achievement levels on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Results for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for grades four and eight

Subject and Grade Level	Average Scale Score		State Percent at Achievement Level		
	State	National	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Reading 2007, Grade 4	209	220	30	18	5
Reading 2007, Grade 8	251	261	41	20	2
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	232	239	41	25	5
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	270	282	36	18	5

National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results for Students with Disabilities and/or English Language Learners by Grade Level – Aggregated

This table displays the state and national participation rates on the National Assessment of Educational Progress for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for students with disabilities and/or English language learners for grades four and eight.

Subject and Grade Level	State Participation Rate		National Participation Rate	
	Students With Disabilities	English Language Learners	Students With Disabilities	English Language Learners
Reading 2007, Grade 4	74	93	65	80
Reading 2007, Grade 8	78	92	66	77
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	79	96	84	94
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	85	96	78	92