Pacific Grove High School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2011-12 School Year Published During 2012-13

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district
 office.

I. Data and Access

EdData Partnership Web Site

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2012-13)

School Contact Info	School Contact Information			
School Name	Pacific Grove High School			
Street	615 Sunset Dr.			
City, State, Zip	Pacific Grove, CA 93950-4827			
Phone Number	831.646.6590			
Principal	Matt Bell			
E-mail Address	mbell@pgusd.org			
CDS Code	27661340000000			

District Contact Information			
District Name	Pacific Grove Unified School District		
Phone Number	831.646.6520		
Web Site	www.pgusd.org		
Superintendent	Ralph Porras		
E-mail Address	rporras@pgusd.org		

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Pacific Grove High School is a comprehensive 9-12 high school, which has served students since the late 1800's. Our mission, in partnership with home and community, is to challenge every student to learn the skills, acquire the knowledge, and develop the insight and character necessary for a productive and rewarding life through a quality instructional program, a positive, safe, and stimulating environment, with a clear commitment to the worth of every individual.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

Community and parent support for Pacific Grove high School is strong. In many ways the high school is the source of community pride. Parents, alumni, and community members are involved in numerous organizations, which supports projects to improve student achievement, allow participation in extra-curricular activities, and improve school facilities. This includes PTA, Breakers Club (parent sports boosters), Music Boosters, Alumni Association, P.G. Athletic foundation, Sober Grad Night, and P.G. Pride (parent and community fund raisers for district programs). Many businesses donate their products and facilities for fund raising activities. In addition, many school events are civic events. The Homecoming parade, Pacific Grove athletic competitions, and drama productions are examples of events in which the entire community participates.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2011-12)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 9	144
Grade 10	156
Grade 11	133
Grade 12	129
Total Enrollment	562

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2011-12)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.8	White	66.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.9	Two or More Races	2.3
Asian	11	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	16.2
Filipino	0.4	English Learners	7.5
Hispanic or Latino	12.8	Students with Disabilities	6.2
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.9		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

	2009-10			2010-11				2011-12				
Subject Avg.				Avg.					per of Classrooms			
	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English					22.7	9	14	0	23.7	8	14	0
Mathematics					22.5	8	11	0	21.3	11	8	1
Science					23.1	7	8	0	23.7	4	13	0
Social Science					21.8	8	13	0	22.8	9	10	0

^{*} Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

Pacific Grove High School strives to provide a safe environment for learning. Emergency/safety drills are implemented regularly. The site emergency plan is revised annually. The school's comprehensive safety plan is updated annually by the Safety Committee which meets five times per year. The site is designing a disaster plan with help from local emergency agencies. Two Campus Supervisors have been hired to patrol the campus.

Suspensions and Expulsions

D . *		School		District			
Rate*	2009-10 2010-11 2011-12			2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	
Suspensions	105	66	60	26.39	17.56	.10	
Expulsions	0.21	0	0	0.11	0	.05	

^{*} The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: May 2011

In 2006 voters passed Measure D, a school improvement bond that is bringing significant changes to PGHS. Major construction and renovation projects are already underway. the renovation of Breakers Stadium and the installation of security cameras have been completed with accolades from the entire community. Phase II was concluded in early 2011 and included totally renovated science classrooms and media and art rooms along with a remodel of our multipurpose room (Student Union) and administration building and minor improvements to heating in classrooms and water runoff around the campus. the back parking lot has been repaved and received a better design for drop-off and pick up for the students attending feeder schools. other future improvements include two new physical education facilities, pathways, tennis court improvements, and more minor renovations. It is hoped that Phase III will begin in the spring of 2011.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

Contain Incorporate d		Repair		Repair Needed and	
System Inspected	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]	

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

T		District		
Teachers	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2011-12
With Full Credential	33	33	33	112
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	1
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	4	4	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

^{* &}quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2011-12)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/

Landing of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects			
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
This School	100	0		
All Schools in District	100	0		
High-Poverty Schools in District	0	0		
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100	0		

^{*} High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2011-12)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor	
Academic Counselor	2.5	278	
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.8		
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	1		
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.5		
Psychologist	.5		
Social Worker	0		
Nurse	0		
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1		
Resource Specialist			
Other			

^{*} One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2012-13)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: January 2012

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Anthology English McDougal Littell 2007/2008 Prentice Hall Grammar 2008/2009	Yes	0
Mathematics	Pre-Calculus Pearson/Prentice Hall 2007/2008 Algebra I, Algebra II, Geometry Holt McDougal 2010/2011 AP Statistics; Bedford, Freeman, & Worth 2010/2011	Yes	0
Science	AP Biology Campbell Reece 2007/2008 Anatomy & Physiology Pearson 2010/2011 AP Enviro Sci: Bedford, Freeman, & Worth 2010/2011	Yes	0
History-Social Science	US History McGraw Hill 2009/2010 Government: Pearson 2010/2011 Economics: Wilson & Clark 2010/2011	Yes	0
Foreign Language	French and Spanish Vista Higher Learning 2009/2010	Yes	0

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

		Average		
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	\$13,065	\$467	\$12,598	\$86,114
District			\$12,598	\$75,429
Percent Difference: School Site and District			0.0	14.2
State			\$5,455	\$62,892
Percent Difference: School Site and State			130.9	36.9

^{*} Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: http://www.ed-data.org.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assists students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

PGHS provides students tutoring in math after school on each weekday.

^{**} Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$40,205	\$38,725
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$71,130	\$59,717
Highest Teacher Salary	\$95,634	\$77,957
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$129,335	\$95,363
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$126,840	\$98,545
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$153,148	\$107,031
Superintendent Salary	\$193,114	\$149,398
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	38%	37%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7%	6%

^{*} For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

IX. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at http://star.cde.ca.gov.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

		Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced							
Subject	School		District		State				
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
English-Language Arts	71	73	78	76	76	79	52	54	56
Mathematics	36	39	45	64	65	65	48	50	51
Science	73	70	69	79	80	79	54	57	60
History-Social Science	73	74	69	73	75	72	44	48	49

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced					
Group	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science		
All Students in the LEA	79	65	79	72		
All Student at the School	78	45	69	69		
Male	74	41	70	69		
Female	83	48	68	70		
Black or African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian	86	62	82	81		
Filipino						
Hispanic or Latino	63	43	50	57		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander						
White	81	42	72	73		
Two or More Races	82	64		58		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	70	36	57	63		
English Learners	36	18				
Students with Disabilities	50	32		20		
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services						

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal ESEA, also known as NCLB.

For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at http://cahsee.cde.ca.gov/.

California High School Exit Examination Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Cubina	School		District			State			
Subject	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
English-Language Arts	83	83	79	80	83	77	54	59	56
Mathematics	81	76	81	79	76	80	54	56	58

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

	Engl	ish-Language		Mathematics		
Group	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students in the LEA	23	27	51	20	46	34
All Students at the School	21	27	52	19	46	35
Male	27	29	45	21	48	32
Female	14	25	61	17	45	38
Black or African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian	23	27	50	4	43	52
Filipino						
Hispanic or Latino	25	30	45	35	50	15
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander						
White	16	27	57	15	47	37
Two or More Races						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	33	33	33	37	37	27
English Learners						
Students with Disabilities						
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services						

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2011-12)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards						
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards				
9	19.6	14.7	64.3				

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2009	2010	2011
Statewide	9	9	9
Similar Schools	3	5	5

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

	Actual API Change					
Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12			
All Students at the School	19	-5	17			
Black or African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian						
Filipino						
Hispanic or Latino						
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander						
White	16	-5	12			
Two or More Races						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			28			
English Learners						
Students with Disabilities						

^{* &}quot;N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2012 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2012 Growth API at the school, district, and state level.

	2012 Growth API							
Group	Sch	ool	District		State			
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API		
All Students at the School	391	863	1,394	884	4,664,264	788		
Black or African American	9		32	800	313,201	710		
American Indian or Alaska Native	4		8		31,606	742		
Asian	43	905	131	928	404,670	905		
Filipino	1		16	904	124,824	869		
Hispanic or Latino	53	841	235	836	2,425,230	740		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2		11	943	26,563	775		
White	258	863	875	893	1,221,860	853		
Two or More Races	12	936	55	923	88,428	849		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	69	821	263	804	2,779,680	737		
English Learners	21	716	122	768	1,530,297	716		
Students with Disabilities	44	640	159	675	530,935	607		

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2011-12)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	Yes	No
Met API Criteria	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	No	No

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2012-13)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		1
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		20

XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities

University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information webpage at http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/. (Outside source)

California State University

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- Specific high school courses
- Grades in specified courses and test scores
- Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the CSU webpage at http://www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml. (Outside source)

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

La diamenta	School			District			State		
Indicator	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Dropout Rate (1-year)	0.5	2.4	5.8	1.3	3.6	7.4	5.7	16.6	14.4
Graduation Rate	97.92	96.85	89.93	96.10	94.93	88.59	78.59	80.53	76.26

^{*} The National Center for Education Statistics graduation rate as reported in AYP is provided in this table.

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

This table displays, by student group, the percent of students who began the 2011-12 school year in grade twelve and were a part of the school's most recent graduating class, meeting all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

Crown	Graduating Class of 2012			
Group	School	District	State	
All Students				
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				

^{* &}quot;N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report.

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about Career Technical Education (CTE) programs including:

- Programs and classes offered that are specifically focused on career preparation and or preparation for work
- · How these programs and classes are integrated with academic courses and how they support academic achievement
- How the school addresses the needs of all students in career preparation and/or preparation for work, including needs unique to defined special populations of students
- The measurable outcomes of these programs and classes, and how they are evaluated
- State the primary representative of the district's CTE advisory committee and the industries represented on the committee

PGHS provides a variety of courses related to technical education: Computers/digital Imaging, Photography, Catering and Hospitality, Sports Medicine and Graphic Design. Our CTE department collaborates with the local community colleges to align coursework for post secondary education.

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2011-12)

Measure	CTE Program Participation	
Number of pupils participating in CTE	524	
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	100	
% of CTE courses sequenced/articulated between the school/institutions of postsecondary education	2	

Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2011-12 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	75.1
2010-11 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	64.1

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2011–12)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	0	
English	2	
Fine and Performing Arts	0	
Foreign Language	0	
Mathematics	2	
Science	1	
Social Science	8	
All courses	13	10

^{*} Where there are student course enrollments.

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance, and data reporting, etc.)?

PGHS is currently providing staff development in building a Professional Learning Community. Several teachers attended a training with Rick and Rebecca DuFour and presented the information to our staff when they returned. Late Start days have been built into the master schedule so that teachers can analyze student data and adjust instruction so that students can attain mastery of the subject matter.