# Forest Grove Elementary School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year Published During 2016-17

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

# **About This School**

# Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)

School Contact Inform	School Contact Information				
School Name	Forest Grove Elementary School				
Street	1065 Congress Ave.				
City, State, Zip	Pacific Grove, CA 93950-4838				
Phone Number	831.646.6560 x 200				
Principal	Buck Roggeman				
E-mail Address	broggeman@pgusd.org				
Web Site	forestgrove.pgusd.org				
CDS Code	27661346026470				

District Contact Info	District Contact Information		
District Name	Pacific Grove Unified School District		
Phone Number	831.646.6520		
Superintendent	Ralph Porras		
E-mail Address	rporras@pgusd.org		
Web Site	www.pgusd.org		

#### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

Forest Grove School seeks to provide a quality educational program for each of its students. We embrace the fact that the school serves students of different backgrounds, abilities and interests. We are committed to offering challenging programs in a positive educational environment that develops individual students to their greatest potential. This environment fosters mutual respect, communication and compassion within the entire school community.

Forest Grove's Mission Statement was written with the belief that every child is entitled to an optimum learning and educational experience. Not stated, yet understood in this statement, is the fact that children have different educational needs and challenges at each grade level. Additionally, the staff has written Core Values statements which convey both the philosophy and the commitment of the faculty to the students, each other, parents, and the greater community.

Early primary grades (TK-2) focus on beginning reading, language arts and mathematical concepts and skills. Third grade is a transitional year between skill development and concept application. In language arts the transition is from learning to read to reading to learn. There is an emphasis on encouraging independent personal responsibility. The intermediate grades (3-5) emphasize core curriculum while focusing on preparing students for the transition to middle school. Forest Grove is committed to maximizing each child's learning experience. The Common Core state standards have dominated the curricular discussions in the 2013-14 school year, with alignment of instructional materials and strategies to the new standards.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	108
Grade 1	82
Grade 2	66
Grade 3	71
Grade 4	70
Grade 5	77
Total Enrollment	474

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.3
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2
Asian	6.8
Filipino	1.3
Hispanic or Latino	20.9
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.6
White	59.1
Two or More Races	8.6
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	29.3
English Learners	13.1
Students with Disabilities	10.3
Foster Youth	0.8

# A. Conditions of Learning

# **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

#### **Teacher Credentials**

T		District		
Teachers	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2016-17
With Full Credential	24	26	32	130
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

# **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	1

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

<sup>\*</sup> Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

#### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

Landing of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects					
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers				
This School	100.0	0.0				
All Schools in District	100.0	0.0				
High-Poverty Schools in District	0.0	0.0				
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100.0	0.0				

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

Year and month in which data were collected: June 2016

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy	
Reading/Language Arts	Benchmark Advance grades 3-5 adopted 2016-2017 and SuperKids adopted May 2014	Yes	0	
Mathematics	My Math (TK-5) May 2014	Yes	0	
Science	Macmillian McGraw Hill (08/09)	Yes	0	
History-Social Science	Scott Foresman (06/07)	Yes	0	

#### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Forest Grove continues to have many improvements to its campus. During the summer of 2016, a \$500,000 parking and drop off reconstruction project was completed allowing for smoother traffic flow and improved access to the front of our school. Working with parent and staff volunteers, the playground area was painted and some games were added over the past two years. The learning environment has received several technology updates including class sets of Chromebooks in grades 3-5, six tablets in TK-2 classrooms, and new video surveillance cameras. We expect the technology component of classrooms to continue to grow as funds from the educational technology bond become available.

#### School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: April 2016							
Contain language	Repair Status Repair Needed and						
System Inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned			
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х						
Interior: Interior Surfaces		х					
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х						
Electrical: Electrical	Х						

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: April 2016							
	Repair Needed and						
System Inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Х						
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х						
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs			Х	Gutters need repair and replacement.			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х						

## **Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)**

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: April 2016						
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor		
		Х				

# **B. Pupil Outcomes**

# **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

#### CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

CANOTI TEST RESULTS III English Edinguage Alto, Electady (EEA, and Mathematics for All Stadelles									
	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)								
Subject	School		Dist	trict	State				
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16			
English Language Arts/Literacy	66 66		67	71	44	48			
Mathematics	52	53	54	57	34	36			

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### **CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group**

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

		Number o	f Students	Percent	of Students
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	3	74	66	89.2	62.1
	4	68	63	92.7	65.1
	5	82	79	96.3	69.6
Male	3	38	34	89.5	47.1
	4	31	28	90.3	53.6
	5	45	45	100.0	71.1
Female	3	36	32	88.9	78.1
	4	37	35	94.6	74.3
	5	37	34	91.9	67.7
Hispanic or Latino	3	17	16	94.1	50.0
	4	16	15	93.8	53.3
	5	15	14	93.3	64.3
White	3	43	37	86.0	70.3
	4	39	36	92.3	61.1
	5	51	50	98.0	70.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	22	22	100.0	31.8
	4	22	22	100.0	40.9
	5	21	20	95.2	40.0
Students with Disabilities	5	11	11	100.0	27.3

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

# CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

		Number o	of Students	Percent of Students		
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded	
All Students	3	74	66	89.2	53.0	
	4	68	63	92.7	55.6	
	5	82	79	96.3	51.9	
Male	3	38	34	89.5	47.1	
	4	31	28	90.3	50.0	
	5	45	45	100.0	68.9	

		Number o	f Students	Percent	of Students
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
Female	3	36	32	88.9	59.4
	4	37	35	94.6	60.0
	5	37	34	91.9	29.4
Hispanic or Latino	3	17	16	94.1	31.3
	4	16	15	93.8	26.7
	5	15	14	93.3	50.0
White	3	43	37	86.0	64.9
	4	39	36	92.3	61.1
	5	51	50	98.0	56.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	22	22	100.0	18.2
	4	22	22	100.0	27.3
	5	21	20	95.2	15.0
Students with Disabilities	5	11	11	100.0	18.2

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

# **CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students**

					coring at P				
Subject		School		District			State		
	2013-14 2014-15 2015-16 2013-14 2014-15 2015-16 2013-14 2014-15 20					2015-16			
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	85	82	85	81	82	73	60	56	54

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group Grades Five, Eight, and Ten (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	# of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students Proficient or Advanced
All Students	81	74	91.4	85.1
Male	45	44	97.8	93.2
Female	36	30	83.3	73.3
Hispanic or Latino	14	12	85.7	83.3
White	51	47	92.2	91.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	20	19	95.0	68.4
Students with Disabilities	11	9	81.8	77.8

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

#### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards							
Level	Four of Six Standards	Four of Six Standards Five of Six Standards Six of Six Standards						
5	19.2	26.9	20.5					

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# C. Engagement

# **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

# Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

Parents and the community are an integral part of Forest Grove School. Teachers welcome and receive a high number of volunteers in their classrooms. School-wide functions (i.e. Back-to-School Night, Open House, holiday programs, Spooky Movie Night, Ice Cream Social, Parent Conferences, Butterfly Parade and Bazaar, Family Game Night, and Falcon Fun Fest) are well attended. Forest Grove has an active Parent Teacher Association (PTA) and School Site Council (SSC). The PTA has sought to provide additional welcome to new parents (often military) into the Forest Grove community through the Falcon Friends program.

# **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- · Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

Doto	School			School District				State	
Rate	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions	1.8	0.0	0.6	3.0	1.3	1.1	4.4	3.8	3.7
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

# School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

Forest Grove's comprehensive safety plan is reviewed each year by our site safety committee and the School Site Council. The plan was most recently reviewed in October 2016. We have adopted the "Big Five" approach to emergency response and all staff receives annual training on the five types of emergency response - shelter in place, evacuation, secure campus, lockdown-barricade, and drop-cover-hold on. Our comprehensive safety plan also contains our drill schedule, school rules, and an overview of the character development used at Forest Grove (Character Counts).

# D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

#### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2012-2013	
Year in Program Improvement*	Year 2	
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	1
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	100.0

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

# **Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)**

Average	2013-14			2014-15				2015-16				
Grade	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	ber of Cla	sses
Level	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+
К	22		4		26		4		26		4	
1	23		3		20	2	1		20	2	1	
2	24		3		22		3		22		3	
3	21	1	2		22		3		22		3	
4	29		3		24		3		24		3	
5	21	1	3		20	1	3		20	1	3	
Other					7	1			7	1		

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

#### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.5	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1.0	N/A
Psychologist	.5	N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse	.3	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0	N/A
Resource Specialist		N/A
Other	.4	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

# Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

		Expenditures Per Pupil				
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary		
School Site	\$13,065	\$467	\$12,598	\$86,114		
District	N/A	N/A	\$12,598	\$88,874		
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	0.0	12.6		
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,677	\$67,348		
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	127.5	36.3		

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

#### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

The students at Forest Grove receive instructional support in their classrooms from their teacher and a part time Instructional Assistant. Outside of the classroom, students performing below grade level in language arts and math may participate in a pull out program using supplemental materials to help close the gap between their current level of understanding and grade level expectations. We also have a Resource Program, and Two Intensive Academic classrooms serving students who need more direct forms of instruction and alternative curriculum. We also provide tutoring from our classroom teachers outside of the school day.

#### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Cacher and Administrative Salaries (115car 1ear 2014-15)							
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category					
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$50,536	\$42,063					
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$83,746	\$64,823					
Highest Teacher Salary	\$109,894	\$84,821					
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$121,494	\$101,849					
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$143,620	\$107,678					
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$170,707	\$115,589					
Superintendent Salary	\$217,470	\$169,152					
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	41%	35%					
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7%	6%					

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

<sup>\*</sup>One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

#### **Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)**

Each year, one full day and two half days (three hours) are dedicated to staff development district-wide. An additional hour per week is set aside for staff development and collaboration (early release on Thursday afternoons). Teachers receive an additional 170 minutes each week to ensure that they have time during the school day for preparation and parent and community contact. Grade level meetings have been held to identify students in need of additional support/tutoring, using Title I and Title III funds. From 2013-2016, a professional consultant has been hired to support and lead the staff through professional development activities around Common Core State Standards - with a focus on key instructional shifts. In 2016-17, the focus shifted to establishing a professional learning community engaged in a regular review of student work at Forest Grove. A math coach has also been hired to work with our staff to improve student learning and instruction, with a focus on grades four and five to better prepare our students for middle school. In 2017, teachers will attend mathematical mindsets training at the Monterey County office of education which will be supported by Forest Grove's professional learning community process.