

Pacific Grove Middle School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2011-12 School Year

Published During 2012-13

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

EdData Partnership Web Site

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2012-13)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Pacific Grove Middle School
Street	835 Forest Avenue
City, State, Zip	Pacific Grove, CA 93950
Phone Number	831.646.6568
Principal	Buck Roggeman
E-mail Address	broggeman@pgusd.org
CDS Code	2766134000000

District Contact Information	
District Name	Pacific Grove Unified School District
Phone Number	831.646.6520
Web Site	ww.pgusd.org
Superintendent	Ralph Porras
E-mail Address	rporras@pgusd.org

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

The mission of Pacific Grove Middle School is to provide a quality educational experience that teaches our students the skills and abilities they need to transition into high school with self-esteem, a capacity for critical thinking, a sense of responsibility, global awareness, and respect for self and others. Our staff is committed to setting and achieving high standards of academics and behavior while recognizing and responding to the individual talents and learning styles of our students.

Pacific Grove Middle School (PGMS) is the only middle school in the Pacific Grove Unified School District. The district consists of two elementary schools (K-5), one middle school (6-8), and one high school (9-12), and one community high school. It also has an adult school which oversees adult education as well as preschool education in a variety of settings. The district enjoys deep community support and, until recently, has been experiencing declining enrollment. Due to high property values, the district receives property tax revenue in excess of its state "revenue limit," which is based on an Average Daily Attendance (ADA) formula. Several bond measures have been passed which have provided additional funding for building improvements. Parcel taxes have been approved to allow restoration of programs and personnel that had been previously cut. Measure D, was passed in 2006, giving the district \$42 million for facility improvements. The first of these improvements at PGMS has been a new classroom for the music program and improvements to the Performing Arts Center. The track was paved, drainage was added, and the outdoor basketball courts were resurfaced. A new set of bleachers was added to the gymnasium. New windows and flooring were installed, and the exterior was painted in the summer of 2008. Our Measure D committee, comprising staff and parents, continues to work with an architect to develop and refine projects at PGMS that will be funded through Measure D. Additional projects, including modernization of science labs, home economic kitchen, school cafeteria, physical education locker rooms, and outdoor student eating areas were completed in spring 2011.

As a philosophy, PGMS seeks to give each student a variety of experiences with the purpose of helping them improve their minds, health, and character. The middle school wants to help them prepare for success in all areas of their high school education. A demanding academic program is combined with extracurricular activities and student support services. The school's Parent Teacher Student Association (PTSA), School Site Council and staff have developed a strong, supportive partnership through which volunteer time and allocated funds are expended to improve the total school program. In addition, each program is reviewed for its rigor and effectiveness to make sure that we are meeting every student's needs.

The middle school years present students with profound physical, social and psychological changes. It is the bridge from childhood to early adulthood. PGMS takes pride in the achievements of its students along this journey as reflected by above-average test scores, a well-behaved student body, regular community service participation, and a commitment to the visual and performing arts. Through these achievements, and others, PGMS graduates have begun the process of building their own bridges toward a successful future.

Due to our high 2007/08 test scores, PGMS was awarded California Distinguished School honors. Detailed analysis as well as a school overview was submitted in response to this opportunity for review in December 2008. A validation team visited PGMS in February 2009 to interview students, parents, and staff about our improved math scores and our support systems that serve our academically at-risk students.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

Parents and community are an integral part of PGMS. We welcome volunteers and parents to help out in the classroom, library, and office in a variety of ways. School-wide functions (Back-to-School Night, Open House, holiday programs, parent conferences, Butterfly Parade and Bazaar, Honors Night, District Music Festival, various drama and musical productions, and Promotion) are heavily attended. PGMS has an active PTSA, School Site Council (SSC), and Measure D Committee. Parents take a strong, participatory lead in assisting administration with making sound decisions for our site and students.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2011-12)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 6	148
Grade 7	146
Grade 8	152
Total Enrollment	446

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2011-12)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	2.7	White	61.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.4	Two or More Races	2.9
Asian	12.1	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	16.6
Filipino	1.3	English Learners	7.8
Hispanic or Latino	14.6	Students with Disabilities	9
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1.8		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2009-10				2010-11				2011-12			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	25.1	3	13	0	23.6	3	9	0	23.3	5	9	0
Mathematics	24	5	11	0	22.9	4	9	0	23	6	8	0
Science	25.1	2	14	0	23.3	4	8	0	24.3	2	10	0
Social Science	25.2	2	14	0	25.6	1	10	0	25.1	1	11	0

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

The school maintains a safety plan that is updated annually.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate*	School			District		
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Suspensions	24.38	17.83	13.7	26.39	17.56	.10
Expulsions	0	0	.22	0.11	0	.05

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: 2011 May

In the 2009-2010 school year, the Measure D construction bond funds continued to improve our school. The dirt track was paved and drainage was installed, which in turn has improved the condition of the grass playing field. Also, several projects were begun at end of the school year and over the summer. These projects included, remodeled/updated science rooms, remodeled/updated home economics room, remodeled/updated kitchen facilities, construction of an outdoor eating facility/amphitheater, improvements in the PE locker room areas, and improved PE storage. The school grounds are maintained on weekly basis. We have a grounds worker assigned to our school, and he does an excellent job maintaining the safety and appearance of our landscaping. Our three-person site maintenance staff (on day, two night custodians), maintain the neatness of our facility. They also address routine maintenance issues as they arise. Although our building is old, it is in fine condition.

In 2010-11 the science rooms modernization project, the kitchen, the outdoor eating area, and home economics kitchen renovations were completed. In addition, four modular classrooms and an 18-space parking lot were constructed on middle school property. Also, new window coverings were installed in each of the classrooms. During the summer of 2010-11 new hall lockers will be installed.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[]	[X]	[]	[]	

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]	

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2011-12
With Full Credential	23	23	23	112
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	1
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	---

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	1	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	1	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2011-12)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100	0
All Schools in District	100	0
High-Poverty Schools in District	0	0
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100	0

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2011-12)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	1	458
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.2	---
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	.8	---
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0	---
Psychologist	.4	---
Social Worker	0	---
Nurse	0	---
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.4	---
Resource Specialist	0	---
Other	0	---

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2012-13)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected:

All curriculum for academic areas is standards-aligned and reflect that of recent adoptions. Each student has access to a home set of books as well as a set that remains at school.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	McDougal Littell (06/07)		0
Mathematics	Pearson/Prentice Hall (08/09)		0
Science	Holt, Rinehart & Winston (07/08)		0
History-Social Science	TCI History (07/08)		0

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	\$13,065	\$467	\$12,598	\$86,114
District	---	---	\$12,598	\$75,429
Percent Difference: School Site and District	---	---	0.0%	13.1%
State	---	---	\$5,455	\$62,892
Percent Difference: School Site and State	---	---	130.9%	41.2%

* **Supplemental/Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

** **Basic/Unrestricted expenditures** are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assist students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

Categorical Programs available to Pacific Grove Middle School:

Federal:

- Title II, professional development
- Title IV, drug abuse and violence prevention programs
- Special Education, ensures students with special needs are properly assessed and serviced with a program designed to meet their needs

State:

- English Language Learners – designed to develop English fluency
- Gifted and Talented Education – identifies gifted and talented students and provides for differentiated opportunities to meet that student's needs
- Tobacco Use Prevention Education – designed to educate students regarding the negative effects of tobacco
- School Improvement Program – a program to fund programs to improve student performance

Local:

- Measure A bond fund – passed in order to improve the physical structures in PGUSD
- Measure A parcel tax – passed in order to fund specified programs throughout the district Measure D bond fund – passed in order to improve the physical structures in PGUSD

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$40,205	\$38,725
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$71,130	\$59,717
Highest Teacher Salary	\$95,634	\$77,957
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$129,335	\$95,363
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$126,840	\$98,545
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$153,148	\$107,031
Superintendent Salary	\$193,114	\$149,398
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	38%	37%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7%	6%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

IX. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- **California Modified Assessment (CMA)**, an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- **California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA)**, includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School			District			State		
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
English-Language Arts	84	79	79	76	76	79	52	54	56
Mathematics	74	68	62	64	65	65	48	50	51
Science	84	88	85	79	80	79	54	57	60
History-Social Science	78	80	80	73	75	72	44	48	49

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
All Students in the LEA	79	65	79	72
All Student at the School	79	62	85	80
Male	78	64	83	84
Female	80	60	87	76
Black or African American	69	31		
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	89	85	86	86
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	69	48	83	60
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				
White	81	63	84	80
Two or More Races	80	65		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	58	37	68	65
English Learners	47	41		
Students with Disabilities	48	28		
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal ESEA, also known as NCLB.

For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at <http://cahsee.cde.ca.gov/>.

California High School Exit Examination Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Subject	School			District			State		
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
English-Language Arts				80	83	77	54	59	56
Mathematics				79	76	80	54	56	58

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

Group	English-Language Arts			Mathematics		
	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students in the LEA	23	27	51	20	46	34
All Students at the School						
Male						
Female						
Black or African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian						
Filipino						
Hispanic or Latino						
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander						
White						
Two or More Races						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged						
English Learners						
Students with Disabilities						
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services						

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2011-12)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
7	16.1	31.5	38.3

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched “similar schools.” A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school’s academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school’s academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2009	2010	2011
Statewide	9	10	9
Similar Schools	5	7	9

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change		
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
All Students at the School	33	-18	-4
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino			
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White	30	-23	1
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			-41
English Learners			
Students with Disabilities			

* "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2012 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2012 Growth API at the school, district, and state level.

Group	2012 Growth API					
	School		District		State	
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API
All Students at the School	429	883	1,394	884	4,664,264	788
Black or African American	12	820	32	800	313,201	710
American Indian or Alaska Native	2		8		31,606	742
Asian	50	946	131	928	404,670	905
Filipino	6		16	904	124,824	869
Hispanic or Latino	65	813	235	836	2,425,230	740
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	8		11	943	26,563	775
White	267	890	875	893	1,221,860	853
Two or More Races	13	935	55	923	88,428	849
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	77	786	263	804	2,779,680	737
English Learners	27	711	122	768	1,530,297	716
Students with Disabilities	48	663	159	675	530,935	607

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2011-12)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	No

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2012-13)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	1
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	20

XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance, and data reporting, etc.)?

Two full days are designated district-wide for staff development. Additionally, each department has been designated two complete days for curriculum planning off site during the school year.